<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VMMC Kits with Disposable Instruments</th>
<th>VMMC Kits with Reusable Instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Advantages** | ■ Ensure high-quality, sterile content in both non-hospital and hospital settings  
■ Are logistically and operationally easier, especially in mobile outreach services  
■ Reduce initial startup program costs  
■ Eliminate autoclave maintenance, personnel, training, and other costs  
■ Can combine consumables, disposable instruments, and even client education materials into one kit  
■ Can be bundled to ease ordering and managing of supplies  
■ Increase service delivery efficiency | ■ Ensure high-quality, sterile content in both non-hospital and hospital settings  
■ Well-maintained re-usable instruments are easier to use than disposable plastic and stainless steel instruments  
■ Build health system capacity and infrastructure  
■ Employ local personnel  
■ Create less waste and there is less need for waste management procedures  
■ Require fewer long-term resources to procure additional instruments |
| **Disadvantages** | ■ Create substantial amounts of waste, including stainless steel instruments that require smelting or burying, thus raising environmental concerns  
■ Limit the flexibility of clinicians to use their preferred equipment and surgical method  
■ Are prone to having some contents pilfered, which could compromise the sterility of the remaining contents | ■ Require additional staff time for cleaning, sterilizing, and packaging instruments, and monitoring procedures  
■ Require autoclave availability and regular maintenance for sterilization  
■ Require water and power supply at site of autoclaving  
■ May require additional time for procurement, because kits are secured from multiple sources |